

AUG 22 2005

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 16.Aug.05	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED MAJOR REPORT	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE FOOD COMMENSALS AS A POTENTIAL MAJOR AVENUE IN TRANSMITTING ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE GENES.			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) CAPT LEHMAN MARK W				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER  CI04-1163	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) THE DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AFIT/CIA, BLDG 125 2950 P STREET WPAFB OH 45433			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited distribution In Accordance With AFI 35-205/AFIT Sup			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
<div style="text-align: center;"> <b>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A</b>            Approved for Public Release            Distribution Unlimited         </div>				
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)				
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 24	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	

## Food commensals as a potential major avenue in transmitting antibiotic resistance genes

Hua H. Wang<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Michele Manuzon<sup>1†</sup>, Mark Lehman<sup>1†</sup>, Kai Wan<sup>1†</sup>, Hongliang Luo<sup>1†</sup>,  
Tom Wittum<sup>3</sup>, Ahmed Yousef<sup>1,2</sup>, Lauren O. Bakaletz<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology

<sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology

<sup>3</sup>Department of Preventive Veterinary Medicine

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatrics and Children's Hospital

The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210

### RUNNING HEAD:

### Key Words:

Foods; commensals; antibiotic resistance; horizontal gene transfer; ecosystem

\* Author for correspondence. Tel: 614-292-0579; Fax: 614-292-0218; E-mail:  
[wang.707@osu.edu](mailto:wang.707@osu.edu)

† M. Manuzon, M. Lehman, K. Wan and H. Luo contributed equally to the project.

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A**  
Approved for Public Release  
Distribution Unlimited

20050831 063

**THE VIEWS EXPRESSED IN THIS ARTICLE ARE  
THOSE OF THE AUTHOR AND DO NOT REFLECT  
THE OFFICIAL POLICY OR POSITION OF THE  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE, DEPARTMENT OF  
DEFENSE, OR THE U.S. GOVERNMENT.**

### Abstract

The rapid emergence of antibiotic resistant (ART) pathogens is a major threat to public health. While the surfacing of ART foodborne pathogens is alarming, the magnitude of the antibiotic resistance (AR) gene pool in foodborne commensals is yet to be revealed. Incidence of ART commensals in dairy, meat, seafood and produce products was examined in this study. Twelve of the 15 retail cheese samples tested were found to contain 'Tet' microbes ranging from  $10^2$  to  $10^7$  CFU per gram of food. All 15 retail produce samples examined contained Em<sup>r</sup> microbes up to  $10^7$  CFU per gram of product. The presence of large populations of ART bacteria in these foods, particularly in many ready-to-eat, "healthy" food items, indicates that the ART bacteria are abundant in the food chain. AR-encoding genes were detected in ART isolates and the main hosts for these genes in several types of foods were further identified. Particularly, *Streptococcus thermophilus*, a commonly used dairy starter culture, was found to be a major carrier organism for AR genes in cheeses, arguing that it might no longer be suitable for cheese production. AR-encoding plasmids were isolated from several foodborne commensals and transmitted to the oral residential bacterium *Streptococcus mutans* via natural gene transformation under laboratory conditions, suggesting the possible transfer of AR genes from food commensals to human residential bacteria via horizontal gene transfer. Since the ART bacteria from food have the potential to modify the human ecosystems by becoming part of the microbiota, or involved in gene swapping during their transient passage through host oral, upper respiratory and GI systems, routine intake of large numbers of ART bacteria through food consumption may play a significant role in the existence of an increasingly antibiotic-resistant microbiota in the human ecosystems.

### Introduction

The rapid emergence of resistant pathogens to various antibiotics indicates that the surfacing of resistant pathogens untreatable by antibiotics constitutes a real threat to public health (Levy 1998). To effectively combat this problem, establishing a comprehensive understanding of the major pathways in antibiotic resistance (AR) gene dissemination as well as the key mechanisms in the evolution of antibiotic resistant (ART) bacteria is an urgent need.

While horizontal gene transfer among pathogens in the hospital environment has been recognized as an important avenue for the rapid spread of AR genes among pathogens, evidence showed that additional pathways besides the clinical settings also contributed to the spread of ART bacteria, and that the use of antibiotics in animals can also impact human microbiota (Levy et al, 1976; Smith et al., 2002). Various reports on the isolation of ART pathogens from food animals, retail meat products and farm environment as well as the identification of AR genes in these isolates further support the notion that inappropriate use of antibiotics in agriculture and animal production, whether for therapeutic or subtherapeutic purposes, facilitated the emergence of ART pathogens and that the food chain could be another route in transmitting ART pathogens to humans. However, most food related studies, to date, have examined the AR profiles of a specific group of pathogens, such as *E. coli* O157:H7 (Schmidt et al., 1998; Kim et al., 1994;), *Campylobacter* sp. (Caudreau and Gilbert, 1998; Ruiz et al., 1998; Smith et al., 1999; Ge et al., 2003), *Salmonella* enterica serovar Typhimurium (Abraham et al., 1998; Lee et al., 1994; Fey et al., 2000; Threlfall et al., 2000; Low et al., 1997; Chen et al., 2004), and *Listeria monocytogenes* (Charpentier et al. 1999; Poyart-Salmeron et al., 1992; Hadorn et al., 1993; Roberts et al., 1996; Biavasco et al., 1996; Abraham et al., 1998). The studies on commensal bacteria were limited and primarily focused on the opportunistic pathogen enterococci (Klein et al., 1998; Cocconcelli

et al., 2003; Johnston and Jaykus, 2004). In almost all of these cases, a standard laboratory enrichment procedure (<http://www.fda.gov/cvm/Documents/AppendicesA-6.pdf>) is required in order to detect the presence of the ART bacteria. The difficulty in isolating ART bacteria in such investigations masks the real magnitude of the AR problem associated with the food chain.

The objective of this study is to investigate the distribution spectrum and magnitude of antibiotic ART commensal bacteria and AR gene pool in the US food chain, and to discuss its potential impact on public health. Unlike most previous investigations, this study targeted total food microbiota instead of a particular group of microorganisms or pathogens. Food samples were analyzed without any laboratory enrichment procedures. The goal was to detect bacteria resistant to two commonly used antibiotics, tetracycline (Tet) and erythromycin (Em), within the microflora associated with foods. These two antibiotics are heavily used in animal production, and are still therapeutic options for man (Chopra and Roberts 2001; Roberts 2004). The presence of several AR markers including *ermB*, *ermC*, *tetS/M* and *tetA* was examined in selected food isolates. The *tetA* gene encodes for the drug efflux protein TetA. The *tetS/M*, *ermB* and *ermC* genes encode proteins that can abolish the function of corresponding antibiotics by non-covalent modification (*tetS/M*) or methylation (*ermB* and *ermC*) of the ribosomes. These genes have previously been identified in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative microbes, wherein they are associated with various mobile elements (Roberts, 1998). Despite the fact that this current study only screened for a limited number of resistance markers, it certainly revealed the high prevalence of ART commensals and AR genes in various food items. These findings have direct implications on public health, as humans consume these commensal bacteria loaded foods on a daily basis.

## Materials and Methods

**Food sample preparation and enumeration of total and ART populations.** Food samples were purchased from local grocery stores. All food items analyzed were within the expiration date for consumption. Five grams of each sample were aseptically removed from the product packaging and placed in disposable Ziploc bags containing 10 ml of sterile 0.1% peptone water. Bagged samples were then hand-massaged for 10 minutes. Homogenized samples or rinsing liquids were serially diluted and plated on non-selective Plate Count Agar (PCA, Becton Dickinson and Company, Sparks, MD) for non-selective total microbial counting, and on PCA plates containing 16  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  of Tet or 8  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  of Em (Fisher Biotech, Fair Lawn, NJ) for assessing Tet and Em resistant population. Serially diluted samples were also plated on Difco Lactobacilli MRS Agar (MRS, Becton Dickinson and Company) plates with the proper antibiotics to recover ART lactic acid bacteria, and on Pseudomonas Selective Agar (PSA, EMD Chemicals Inc., Gibbstown, NJ) with the proper antibiotics for ART *Pseudomonas* species isolation. Plates were incubated at 32°C or 20°C for up to 48 h at temperatures as specified for each sample. The cell numbers reported were the mean values from duplicates.

**Detection of AR genes by conventional PCR.** Conventional PCR was conducted to detect the presence of AR genes in the ART isolates. Bacterial cells from single colonies were re-suspended in 300  $\mu\text{l}$  sterile  $\text{dH}_2\text{O}$  containing 100  $\mu\text{g}$  of 1:1 mixture of 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  diameter and 0.1  $\mu\text{m}$  diameter glass beads (Biospec Products, Inc, Bartlesville, OK). The sample mixtures were homogenized using the Mini-Bead-Beater-8 (Biospec Products, Inc, Bartlesville, OK) for 2

min at maximum speed. The resulting cell extracts were placed in a boiling water bath for 10-15 min and 5 µl of the supernatant was used as PCR templates. The PCR primers *tetA*-FP 5'-GCTACATCC'TGCTTGCC'TTC3' and *tetA*-RP 5'-CATAGATCGCC'GTGAAGAGG3' were used to amplify the 220 bp *tetA* fragment (Ng et al., 2001). *tetS*-FP 5'-CATAGACAAGCCGTTGACC3' and *tetS*-RP 5'-ATGTTTTTGGAAACGCC'AGAG3' were for the 667 bp *tetS*/M fragment (Ng et al., 2001), *ermB*-FP 5'-GGAACAGGTAAAAGGGC3' and *ermB*-RP 5'-GGTTT'AGGATGAAAGC3' for the 389 bp *ermB* fragment (this study), and *ermC* FP 5'-GCTAATATTGTTTAAATCGTC'AAT3' and *ermC* RP 5'-TCAAAACATAATATAGATAAAA3' for the 640 bp *ermC* fragment (Chung et al., 1999). PCR was conducted using reagents as described previously (Luo et al., 2004) and the amplification conditions included an initial step of 3 minutes at 95°C and 35 cycles of 30 seconds at 95°C (melting), 30 seconds at 55°C (annealing), and 30 seconds at 68°C (extension), using a thermal cycler (iCycler™, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). PCR products with expected sizes were purified using a commercial purification kit (QIAquick®, Qiagen, Valencia, CA) following manufacturer's instruction. DNA sequences of the fragments were determined using a DNA analyzer (ABI PRISM® 3700, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) at the Plant Genome Sequence Facility, The Ohio State University. The DNA sequences were compared with published Tet or Em resistance gene sequences deposited in the NCBI database.

**Identification of ART isolates.** ART isolates containing the resistance genes were identified by PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene fragment and sequence analysis following procedures as described previously (Connor et al., 2005). The 1.5 kb 16S rRNA gene fragment of the isolates were amplified using the primer pair 5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG



3' and 5'TACCTTGTTACGACTT 3' by PCR (Weisburg et al., 1991), and the sequences of the fragments were determined and compared with those deposited in the NCBI database.

**MIC profiles of ART isolates.** The minimum inhibition concentration (MIC) profiles of selected ART isolates were determined using the commercial kit Sensititre® 18-24 Hour MIC and Breakpoint Susceptibility Plates (TREK Diagnostic Systems, Cleveland, OH) following the manufacturer's instructions, with modifications. MRS or brain heart infusion (BHI) broth instead of the standard Mueller-Hinton broth was used to culture fastidious organisms. The MIC panels were incubated at either 30°C or 37°C for 24-48 h. The MICs were reported as the minimum concentration of the antibiotic that inhibited visible growth, as indicated by increased turbidity or by deposition of cells at the bottom of the wells. Control strains used in the study include *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 [American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA], *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 (ATCC), *L. lactis* M13 (Kuhl et al., 1979), and *S. thermophilus* LMD-9 ([http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=genomeprj&cmd=Retrieve&dopt=Overview&list\\_uids=13773](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=genomeprj&cmd=Retrieve&dopt=Overview&list_uids=13773)).

**Plasmid isolation from selected antibiotic resistant isolates.** In this study, the lactococcal strains CZ-T4 (Tet<sup>r</sup>) and CZ-T8 (Tet<sup>r</sup>) were isolated from commercial cheddar cheese, while strain RMK-T14 (Tet<sup>r</sup>) was obtained from raw milk. The multi-drug resistant *L. lactis* K214 was isolated from cheese (Perreten et al., 1997). The strains were grown in either MRS broth or M17 broth with 0.5% glucose, supplemented with 5 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> Tet and incubated at 30°C for 24 h. Plasmids were isolated from these strains following the method of Anderson and McKay (1983) and were used in the natural transformation experiments.

**Natural gene transformation.** Overnight cultures of *S. mutans* UA 159 grown in either BHI or Bacto Todd-Hewitt broth (Becton Dickinson and Company) were transferred to fresh medium and incubated at 37°C until the OD<sub>600</sub> reading reached approximately 0.15-0.30. Transforming plasmid DNA was then added at a final concentration of 1 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>. The cultures were allowed to grow for an additional 2 h at 37°C. After the incubation period, the cultures were briefly vortexed and plated on selective and non-selective BHI plates. For the selection of Tet<sup>r</sup> transformants, BHI plates were supplemented with 5 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> Tet. Plates were incubated in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37°C for 48 h. Transformation efficiency was calculated based on the ratio of Tet<sup>r</sup> transformants to the total number of viable cells.

## Results

**Prevalence of ART bacteria in food samples.** Using the screening conditions as indicated, ART bacteria were detected in the majority of the retail food items examined (Table 1), except processed cheese and yogurt (data not shown). High counts of ART microbes were detected not only from raw food materials such as meat and shrimp, but also from many ready-to-eat food items. Twelve out of the 15 cheese samples analyzed contained Tet<sup>r</sup> microbes ranging from 10<sup>2</sup> to 10<sup>7</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup> of food. The number of Tet<sup>r</sup> microbes was greater in cheeses than was Em<sup>r</sup> bacteria. Among 15 produce samples examined (7 reported in Table 1), all contained up to 10<sup>7</sup> CFU ART microbes per gram of food, and majority of which (20 to 92%) were resistant to Em. The number of Tet<sup>r</sup> microbes in produce was much lower than that of Em<sup>r</sup>. Since it is unlikely that Em is used in produce production or Tet in cheese fermentation, the reasons for the difference in the magnitudes of Tet and Em-resistant microbes in these ecosystems are unknown.

Studies are currently undergoing in our laboratory to reveal the possible factors contributing to the selective maintenance of these ART bacteria in the corresponding ecological niches.

It is worth of noting that the study was conducted using limited incubation conditions, the antibiotic concentrations used to screen for resistant organisms were based on those used for enterococci and might not be optimal for all bacteria, and bacteria located within the plant tissues may not have been detected. Therefore the numbers reported here only represent a portion of the total ART bacterial load in these foods.

**Detection of AR genes and ART isolates identification.** To confirm that most of the ART organisms detected by growth on the selective agar plates were resistant bacteria due to the possession of various resistance mechanisms, conventional PCR was conducted to detect the presence of selected AR genes in these organisms. Table 2 summarizes the screening results for some of the Em and Tet markers, and the identities of selected ART isolates as determined by 16S (bacteria) rRNA gene sequence analysis.

Among the 'Tet' isolates recovered from cheese, more than 20% contained the *tetS/M* gene (Table 2). The 16S sequence analysis showed that isolates C%-T4 and C%-T8 had 97% sequence identity to unidentified *Lactococcus* sp., and particularly had 93-94% identity to *L. garvieae* and *L. lactis*. Meanwhile, the 16S rRNA sequence analysis of the raw milk ART isolate RMK-T14 showed similar sequence identity to unidentified *Lactococcus* sp. and *L. garvieae*, suggesting this is a common organism from milk. Therefore, it is possible that the cheese lactococcal *tetS/M*-containing resistant isolates were originated from milk (pasteurized but not

sterile) or dairy processing environment during cheese fermentation. *S. thermophilus* was found to be an important carrier for the *tetS/M* gene in cheeses.

Among the *Em<sup>r</sup>* isolates from cheese, more than 50% contained the *ermB* gene, and the carrier organisms identified so far include *Staphylococcus* sp. (5 out of 28) and *S. thermophilus* (23 out of 28). Approximately 10-30% of the *Em<sup>r</sup>* isolates from salad and carrots contained the *ermB* gene, with the primary carrier organisms being *Pseudomonas* sp. or *Enterobacter* sp.

In addition, the *tetA* gene was identified from two cheese isolates CZ-T3, CZ-T7 and several isolates from raw pork meat. These isolates were all identified as *Pseudomonas* sp. Both *tetS/M* and *ermC* genes were found in the isolate CX-1 EM from packaged sliced chicken lunchmeat, suggesting a multi-drug resistance phenotype of the strain. CX-1 EM was identified to be *Pseudomonas* sp. ART bacteria were isolated sporadically in lunchmeat (data not shown), which is probably due to occasional contamination during the processing of the meat.

**MIC analysis.** MIC tests of selected cheese isolates showed that *Lactococcus* sp. CZ-T4 and CZ-T8 (*tetS/M<sup>+</sup>*) were resistant to at least 16  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  Tet, and *S. thermophilus* E4 (*ermB<sup>+</sup>*) was resistant to Em ( $\geq 8 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), clarithromycin ( $\geq 8 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), and clindamycin ( $4 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ). *Staphylococcus* sp. C202 was resistant to both Em ( $\geq 8 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) and Tet ( $>16 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), suggesting the possible possession of both resistance determinants in this isolate.

*Lactococcus* sp. RMK-T14 (*tetS/M<sup>+</sup>*) from raw milk was resistant to Tet ( $>16 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), Em ( $\geq 8 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), clarithromycin ( $\geq 8 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), and clindamycin ( $\geq 4 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ). Therefore this

isolate likely carried multi-drug resistant determinants or multi-drug resistant mechanism(s). The raw milk isolate *Streptococcus uberis* RMK-T22W exhibited resistance to Tet ( $\geq 16 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ).

All of the *Pseudomonas tetA*<sup>1</sup> isolates recovered from pork and cheese exhibited resistance to Tet ( $\geq 16 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), Em ( $\geq 2-8 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), and vancomycin ( $\geq 32 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), indicating a multi-drug resistance phenotype in these organisms. The *Pseudomonas sp.* CX-I EM (*ermB*<sup>+</sup>*tetS*/M<sup>+</sup>) from packaged sliced chicken lunchmeat was resistant to Tet ( $\geq 16 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) and Em ( $\geq 8 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ).

#### **Horizontal transfer of the AR gene from food isolates to oral residential bacterium.**

The *tetS*-containing lactococcal isolates CZ-T4 and CZ-T8, recovered from cheese, and RMK-T14, isolated from raw milk, contained a plasmid with an approximate size of 20-25 kb. To assess the potential risk of the foodborne ART bacteria in disseminating AR genes to human microbiota, plasmids isolated from the above strains were used for natural transformation of the oral cariogenic pathogen *S. mutans* in laboratory media. The *tetS*/M gene was successfully transferred to *S. mutans* UA159 at frequencies ranging from  $1.9 \times 10^{-7}$  to  $2.8 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $4.7 \times 10^{-7}$  to  $2.3 \times 10^{-6}$ , and  $3.8 \times 10^{-7}$  to  $2.1 \times 10^{-6}$  transformants per recipient cell using CZ-T4, CZ-T8 and RMK-T14 plasmid extracts, respectively. In addition, the multi-drug resistant plasmid pK214 from the cheese isolate *L. lactis* K214 was also successfully transformed into *S. mutans* UA159 at frequencies of  $1.1 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $1.2 \times 10^{-5}$  transformants per recipient cell. PCR amplification confirmed the presence of the *tetS*/M gene in the streptococcal transformants. MIC test showed that the transformants had significantly increased resistance to Tet ( $\geq 16 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) compared to the parental strain UA159 ( $2 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ). These results illustrated that the *tetS*/M gene from food

isolates can lead to resistance in residential host bacteria or pathogens, if acquired by horizontal gene transfer.

### **Discussion and Conclusion**

The increasing inability to effectively treat many infections with antibiotics due to a rapidly emerging AR phenotype in many bacteria is a major threat to public health. Effective control strategies to reduce antibiotic resistance need to be built upon having a complete understanding of the key pathways leading to evolution and spread of AR genes as well as identifying the carrier organisms. It has been known that the presence of AR gene pool is the basis for horizontal gene transfer and that selective pressure (antibiotic usage) plays an important role in the enrichment of ART bacteria via horizontal gene transfer (Levy 1998). Horizontal gene transfer among pathogens in the hospital environment is recognized as a main vehicle for the rapid spread of AR genes among pathogens, whereas minimizing antibiotic misuse has been the primary control strategy used to combat the AR problem worldwide. The potential impact of antibiotics used in animal production on the emergence of ART pathogens has also been discussed extensively. However, recent studies have showed that the microbiota in children and adults is becoming increasingly resistant to antibiotics, even in the absence of antibiotic treatment (Lancaster et al., 2003, 2005; Ready et al., 2003; Villedieu et al., 2004). Reports on AR gene reservoirs in the environment (Gilliver et al., 1999; Österblad et al., 2001; Nandi et al., 2001; Smith et al., 2004), combined with the important new results presented in this study, further indicate that the scope for AR gene transmission is quite broad.

This study targeted the AR gene reservoir in commensal bacteria associated with the food chain. The size of the AR gene pool is quite large in commensals, and horizontal transmissions in ecosystems, directly or indirectly mediated by the abundant and diverse commensal populations, are much more likely events than direct AR gene dissemination from one pathogen to another. Commensal bacteria could even serve as "enhancer" facilitating the dissemination of AR genes in ecosystems (Luo et al, 2005). Our data on the prevalence of ART bacteria can be translated to  $10^3$  to  $10^8$  CFU Tet<sup>r</sup> microbes per slice of cheese (about 20 g), and up to  $10^9$  CFU g<sup>-1</sup> Em<sup>r</sup> microbes per serving of salad (about 50-100 g) or baby carrots (about 10 pieces). Since these foods are normally considered healthy, and are consumed without further cooking or processing, these data are a good indication of the daily intake of ART bacteria via the food chain. Therefore without even being exposed to the hospital environment, human beings are unintentionally and constantly inoculated through intake of food with large populations of ART bacteria including opportunistic pathogens and commensals such as *Pseudomonas* sp., *Streptococcus* sp. and *Staphylococcus* sp., many of which carry resistance determinants to antibiotics. This finding is consistent with a previous report that consuming sterile foods can significantly decrease the presence of ART bacteria in the GI system (Levy 1998). Particularly, oral cavity could be an important area where many initial interactions between food microbes and human microbiota, including horizontal gene transfer events such as conjugation and transformation, took place during the retention of food residues in the oral cavity. In fact, the *tetS/M* and *ermB* genes were found to be abundant in bacteria isolated from foods, which is in agreement with the prevalence of these Tet- and Em-resistance genes in human oral microflora (Roberts, 1998). Successful transmission of the resistance genes from the food isolates to the oral residential bacterium *S. mutans*, by natural gene transformation, further confirmed the functionality of the mobile

resistance-encoding elements, if acquired by horizontal gene transfer. Further research is needed to establish the direct correlation between the ART microbes from foods and the ART population in the host ecosystems. However, it is evident that a constant supply of ART bacteria, partnered with occasional colonization, and horizontal gene transfer are at least partially responsible for the increased AR profiles seen in human.

While the ART population in the human ecosystems might not cause a major problem in healthy people, such an intrinsic AR gene pool could have significant impact on pathogen resistance in susceptible population, and particularly those receiving antibiotic treatment. Due to the magnitude and spectrum of the ART bacteria identified in foods, we propose that in addition to the medical route, the food chain might have served as a major avenue for the transmission of ART bacteria from the environment to human in the general population.

It is worth noting that ART pathogens can emerge in the natural ecosystems and be transmitted to the host, or directly evolve within the host ecosystems. Furthermore, not only are food and related processing environment part of the external ecosystems where ART bacteria could evolve, but also foods are major carriers for ART bacteria and selective pressures inherent to the processing procedures themselves (such as addition of preservatives or other food additives), which could further augment the emergence of ART bacteria in the host ecosystems. While it is a major challenge to track the direct and indirect gene transfer events among microbes in complicated ecosystems (Andremont 2003), identifying key AR gene carrier organisms in foods not only reveals the ultimate consequence of these events in the food chain and the organisms involved in horizontal gene transfer, but enables further characterization of conditions



in these ecosystems that might facilitate horizontal gene transfer and features of the organisms that might grant their fitness in such ecological niches (Luo et al., 2005a). Such understanding would be critical for effective counteractive strategies to interfere with the detrimental gene swapping in both natural and host ecosystems.

Identification of the key pathways in AR gene transfer is critical but developing a strategy to combat this problem is even more important. Among the foods examined, meat and seafood products likely are subject to heat treatment and the ART number would be significantly reduced in cooked foods. Read-to-eat items such as salad and baby carrots are normally consumed raw. Therefore including a bactericidal procedure is important before consumption. Preliminary studies in our group showed that applying minimal heat for short periods (within seconds) or treatments with active ingredients can effectively destroy the ART flora in these products (Lehman et al, unpublished data). Such treatments will not change the sensory feature of the products and will likely lead to manageable approaches for the industry and the consumer to combat the AR problem. The finding of ART bacteria in cheeses often associated with raw milk, such as *Lactococcus* sp., *Streptococcus* sp. and *Staphylococcus* sp., suggests that cheese fermentation is a susceptible process during which ART bacteria could evolve and proliferate. Improving sanitation and milk heat treatment are thereby an essential step in reducing ART bacteria. Fortunately, traditional starter and adjunct cultures such as *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis*, *L. lactis* subsp. *cremoris* and *Lactobacillus* sp. so far are not among the identified carrier organisms for AR genes. However, an industrially important lactic acid bacterium, *S. thermophilus*, was found a dominant carrier organism for both Tet and Em genes. Genetic screening and MIC tests of three commercial *S. thermophilus* starter cultures showed that the strains are free of these AR

genes, suggesting that the susceptibility of this organism to horizontal gene transfer during cheese fermentation and its unsuitability as cheese starter culture.

In general, while it would be a tedious and likely long-term effort to clean up the AR gene pool in the environment, interrupting the transmission of ART bacteria into human by focusing our efforts on the food chain could be an effective strategy to combat the AR challenge in human.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Drs. John Reeve, Steve Schwartz (The Ohio State University), Paul Kolenbrander (NIH/NIDCR), Stuart Levy (Tufts University) and David White (FDA) for helpful discussions. The *L. lactis* strain ML3 was from Dr. Larry McKay (University of Minnesota), and the *S. thermophilus* strain LMD-9 was from Dr. Robert Hutkins (University of Nebraska-Lincoln). *L. lactis* strain K214 was from Dr. Stuart Levy. This project was sponsored by OSU start-up fund for H. H. Wang. Funding for M. Lehman is provided by US Air Force Institute of Technology.

### References

1. Abraham A., Papa A., Soultos N., Ambrosiadis I., Antoniadis A. 1998. Antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* spp. and *Listeria* spp. isolates from traditionally made fresh sausages in Greece. *J. Food Prot.* 61:1378-1380
2. Anderson, D. G. McKay L.L. 1984. Genetic and physical characterization of recombinant plasmids associated with cell aggregation and high-frequency conjugal transfer in *Streptococcus lactis* ML3. *J. Bacteriol.* 158:954-962.
3. Andreumont, A. 2003. Commensal flora may play key role in spreading antibiotic resistance. *ASM News* 69:601-607.
4. Charpentier E., Courvalin P. Antibiotic resistance in *Listeria* spp. 1999. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 43: 2103-2108.
5. Chen, S., S. Zhao, D. G. White, C. M. Schroeder, R. Lu, H. Yang, P. F. McDermott, S. Ayers, and J. Meng. 2004. Characterization of multiple-antimicrobial-resistant *Salmonella* serovars isolated from retail meats. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70:1-7.
6. Chopra I, Roberts M. 2001. Tetracycline antibiotics: mode of action, applications, molecular biology, and epidemiology of bacterial resistance. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.* 65:232-260.
7. Chung W.O., Werckenthin C., Schwarz S., Roberts M.C. 1999. Host range of the *ermF* rRNA methylase gene in bacteria of human and animal origin. *J Antimicrob chemother* 43:5-14.

8. Cocconcelli P.S., Cattiveli D., Gazzola S. 2003. Gene transfer of vancomycin and tetracycline resistances among *Enterococcus faecalis* during cheese and sausage fermentation. *Int. J. Food. Microbiol.* 88:315-323.
9. Connor C., Luo H., McSpadden-Gardener B.B., Wang H.H. 2005. Development of a second rapid detection system for *Alicyclobacillus* spp. using real-time PCR. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 99: 229-235.
10. Fey P.D., Safranek T.J., Rupp M.E., Dunne E.F., Ribot E., Iwen P.C., et al. 2000. Ceftriaxone-resistant *Salmonella* infection acquired by a child from cattle. *N. Engl. J. M.* 342:1242-1249.
11. Gaudreau C., Gilbert H. Antimicrobial resistance of clinical strains of *Campylobacter jejuni* subsp. *jejuni* isolated from 1985 to 1997 in Quebec, Canada. 1998. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 42:2106-2108.
12. Ge B., White D.G., McDermott P.F., Girard W., Zhao S., Hubert S., Meng J. 2003. Antimicrobial-resistant *Campylobacter* species from retail raw meats. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69: 3005-3007.
13. Gilliver M.A., Bennett M., Begon M., Hazel S.M., Hart C. A. 1999. Antibiotic resistance found in wild rodents. *Nature* 401:233-234.
14. Johnston L.M., Jaykus L.A. 2004. Antimicrobial resistance of *Enterococcus* species isolated from produce. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 70:3133-3137.
15. Kim H.H., Samadpour M., Grimm L., Clausen C.R., Besser T.E., Baylor M., et al. 1994. Characteristics of antibiotic-resistant *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in Washington State, 1984-1991. *J. Infect. Dis.* 170:1606-1609.

16. Klein G, Park A, Reuter G. 1998. Antibiotic resistance patterns of enterococci and occurrence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in raw minced beef and pork in Germany. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 64:1825-1830.
17. Kuhl, S. A., Larsen L.D., McKay L.L. 1979. Plasmid profiles of lactose-negative and proteinase-deficient mutants of *Streptococcus lactis* C10, ML3, and M18. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 37:1193-1195.
18. Lancaster H, Bedi R, Wilson M, Mullany P. 2005. The maintenance in the oral cavity of children of tetracycline-resistant bacteria and the genes encoding such resistance. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2005. <http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/reprint/dki259v1>.
19. Lancaster H, Ready D, Mullany P, Spratt D, Bedi R, Wilson M. 2003. Prevalence and identification of tetracycline-resistant oral bacteria in children not receiving antibiotic therapy. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 228:99-104.
20. Lee L.A., Puhr N.D., Maloney E.K., Bean N.H., Tauxe R.V. 1994. Increase in antimicrobial-resistant *Salmonella* infections in the United States, 1989-1990. *J. Infect. Dis.* 170:128-134.
21. Levy S.B., FitzGerald G.B., Macone A.B. 1976. Changes in intestinal flora of farm personnel after introduction of a tetracycline-supplemented feed on a farm. *N Engl J Med.* 295:583-588.
22. Levy S.B. 1998. The challenge of antibiotic resistance. *Scientific American.* 278:46-53.
23. Luo N, Pereira S., Shin O, Lin J, Huang S, Michel L, Zhang Q. 2005. Enhanced in vivo fitness of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* in the absence of antibiotic selection pressure. *PNAS* 102:541-546.

24. Luo H, Wan K, Wang H.H. 2005. A high frequency conjugation system facilitated biofilm formation and pAM $\beta$ 1 transmission in *Lactococcus lactis*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71:2970-2978.
25. Luo H, Yousef A, Wang H.H. 2004. Rapid detection of *Alicyclobacillus* species in pure culture and apple juice using real-time PCR. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 39:376-382.
26. Nandi, S., J. J. Maurer, C. Hofacre, and A. O. Summers. 2004. Gram-positive bacteria are a major reservoir of Class I antibiotic resistance integrons in poultry litter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 101:7118-7122.
27. Ng I.K, Martin I, Alfa M, Mulvey M. 2001. Multiplex PCR for the detection of tetracycline resistant genes. *Mol Cell Probes.* 15:209-215.
28. Österblad M, Norrdahl K, Korpimäki E, Huovinen P. 2001. How wild are wild mammals? *Nature* 409:37-38.
29. Perreten V, Schwarz F, Cresta L, Boeglin M, Dasen G, Teuber M. 1997. Antibiotic resistance spread in food. *Nature* 389:801-802.
30. Poyart-Salmcron C., Tricu-Cuot P., Carlier C., MacGowan A., McLauchlin J., Courvalin P. 1992. Genetic basis of tetracycline resistance in clinical isolates of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 36: 463-466.
31. Ready D, Bedi R, Spratt DA, Mullany P, Wilson M. 2003. Prevalence, proportions, and identities of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the oral microflora of healthy children. *Microb Drug Resist.* 9:367-372.
32. Roberts M.C. 1998. Antibiotic resistance mechanisms in bacteria of oral and upper respiratory origin. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 9:255-267.

33. Roberts M.C. 2004. Resistance to macrolide, lincosamide, streptogramin, ketolide, and oxazolidinone antibiotics. *Mol Biotechnol.* 28:47-62.
34. Roberts M.C. 2005. Update on acquired tetracycline resistance genes. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 245:195-203.
35. Roberts M.C., Facinelli B., Giovanetti E., Varaldo P.E. 1996. Transferable erythromycin resistance in *Listeria* spp. isolated from food. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62:269-270.
36. Ruiz J., Goni P., Marco F., Gallardo F., Mirelis B., Jimenez De Anta T., et al. 1998. Increased resistance to quinolones in *Campylobacter jejuni*; a genetic analysis of *gyrA* gene mutations in quinolone-resistant clinical isolates. *Microbiol. Immunol.* 42:223-226.
37. Schmidt H., von Maldeghem J., Frosch M., Karch H. 1998. Antibiotic susceptibilities of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 and non-O157 strains isolated from patients and healthy subjects in Germany during 1996. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 42: 548-550.
38. Smith D.L., Harris A.D., Johnson J.A., Silbergeld E.K., Morris J.G. 2002. Animal antibiotic use has an early but important impact on the emergence of antibiotic resistance in human commensal bacteria. *PNAS* 99:6434-6439.
39. Smith MS, Yang R.K, Knapp C.W, Niu Y, Peak N, Hanfelt M.M, Galland J.C, Graham D.W. 2004. Quantification of tetracycline resistance genes in feedlot lagoons by real-time PCR. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 70:7372-7372.
40. Smith K.E., Besser J.M., Hedberg C.W., Leano F.T., Bender J.B., Wicklund J.H., et al. 1999. Quinolone-resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* infections in Minnesota, 1992-1998. *N. Engl. J. M.* 340:1525-1532.

41. Threlfall E.J. 2000. Epidemic *Salmonella typhimurium* DT 104-a truly international multiresistant clone. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 46: 7-10.
42. Villedieu A, Diaz-Torres ML, Roberts AP, Hunt N, McNab R, Spratt DA, Wilson M, Mullany P. 2004. Genetic basis of erythromycin resistance in oral bacteria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 48:2298-2301.
43. Weisburg W.G., Barns S.M., Pelletier D.A., Lane D.J., 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. *J. Bacteriol.* 173: 697-703.
44. Winokur P.L., Brueggemann A., DeSalvo D.L., Hoffmann L., Apley M.D., Uhlenhopp E.K., et al. 2000. Animal and human multidrug-resistant, cephalosporin-resistant salmonella isolates expressing a plasmid-mediated CMY-2 AmpC beta-lactamase. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 44:2777-2783.



Table I. Prevalence of AR microbes in selected food samples

Food Item	Sample Source	Total Plate Count (CFU/g food)	Tet-resistant Count* (CFU/g food)	Em-resistant Count <sup>§</sup> (CFU/g food)
Cheddar Cheese #1 <sup>a</sup>	Store I (Brand A)	$3.2 \times 10^7 \pm 1.4 \times 10^6$	$1.1 \times 10^5 \pm 1.4 \times 10^1$	$9.0 \times 10^1 \pm 1.4 \times 10^1$
Cheddar Cheese #2 <sup>a</sup>	Store II (Brand B)	$2.9 \times 10^6 \pm 9.1 \times 10^5$	$1.2 \times 10^3 \pm 6.7 \times 10^2$	$9.5 \times 10^2 \pm 4.2 \times 10^1$
Cheddar Cheese #3 <sup>a</sup>	Store II (Brand C)	$2.5 \times 10^8 \pm 3.1 \times 10^7$	$4.1 \times 10^7 \pm 7.0 \times 10^5$	$4.3 \times 10^3 \pm 1.3 \times 10^1$
Cheddar Cheese #4 <sup>a</sup>	Store II (Brand D)	$5.5 \times 10^6 \pm 3.5 \times 10^6$	$1.1 \times 10^3 \pm 1.0 \times 10^1$	0
Cheddar Cheese #5 <sup>a</sup>	Store I (Brand E)	$1.9 \times 10^8 \pm 4.0 \times 10^7$	$8.0 \times 10^3 \pm 3.2 \times 10^3$	$4.0 \times 10^1 \pm 4.0 \times 10^1$
Cheddar Cheese #6 <sup>a</sup>	Store I Brand (B)	$7.0 \times 10^7 \pm 2.8 \times 10^7$	$1.8 \times 10^2 \pm 2.0 \times 10^1$	$2.0 \times 10^1 \pm 2.0 \times 10^1$
Cheddar Cheese #7 <sup>a</sup>	Store III Brand (B)	$3.9 \times 10^7 \pm 9.1 \times 10^6$	$3.7 \times 10^1 \pm 1.5 \times 10^1$	$3.7 \times 10^2 \pm 7.0 \times 10^1$
Cheddar Cheese #8 <sup>a</sup>	Store I (Brand A)	$2.3 \times 10^8 \pm 3.6 \times 10^6$	$1.6 \times 10^3 \pm 2.0 \times 10^2$	<1
Cheddar Cheese #9 <sup>a</sup>	Store III (Brand C)	$5.2 \times 10^8 \pm 5.2 \times 10^6$	<1	$1.0 \times 10^1 \pm 1.0 \times 10^1$
Colby Cheese #1 <sup>a</sup>	Store I (Brand C)	$5.6 \times 10^8 \pm 4.4 \times 10^7$	$2.3 \times 10^3 \pm 6.1 \times 10^2$	$6.0 \times 10^1 \pm 0$
Colby Cheese #2 <sup>a</sup>	Store II (Brand B)	$2.2 \times 10^8 \pm 8.5 \times 10^6$	$2.8 \times 10^6 \pm 8.6 \times 10^5$	$2.2 \times 10^3 \pm 1.4 \times 10^2$
Swiss Cheese #1 <sup>a</sup>	Store I (Brand E)	$2.4 \times 10^8 \pm 1.1 \times 10^7$	$4.7 \times 10^3 \pm 4.4 \times 10^4$	$2.7 \times 10^2 \pm 1.0 \times 10^1$
Mozzarella Cheese #1	Store I (Brand B)	$3.6 \times 10^6 \pm 7.6 \times 10^5$	$5.4 \times 10^3 \pm 2.0 \times 10^1$	<1
Mozzarella Cheese #2	Store I (Brand A)	$1.3 \times 10^8 \pm 1.7 \times 10^7$	<1	<1
Mozzarella Cheese #3	Store I (Brand B)	$4.2 \times 10^5 \pm 4.9 \times 10^4$	<1	<1
Baby Carrots #1 <sup>c</sup>	Store I (Brand F)	$1.2 \times 10^6 \pm 2.5 \times 10^5$		$6.7 \times 10^5 \pm 1.0 \times 10^4$
Baby Carrots #2 <sup>c</sup>	Store II (Brand J)	$4.5 \times 10^7 \pm 1.4 \times 10^6$	$1.6 \times 10^4 \pm 7.1 \times 10^2$	$1.2 \times 10^7 \pm 1.4 \times 10^5$
Baby Carrots #3 <sup>c</sup>	Store III (Brand K)	$9.0 \times 10^7 \pm 6.6 \times 10^7$	$4.1 \times 10^5 \pm 3.5 \times 10^5$	$4.0 \times 10^7 \pm 1.9 \times 10^7$
Mushroom #1 <sup>b</sup>	Store I (Brand G)	$9.3 \times 10^6 \pm 1.4 \times 10^5$	$2.4 \times 10^2 \pm 4.2 \times 10^1$	$8.1 \times 10^6 \pm 1.4 \times 10^5$
Mushroom #1 <sup>c</sup>	Store I (Brand G)	$1.5 \times 10^5 \pm 1.1 \times 10^4$	$5.0 \times 10^1 \pm 1.4 \times 10^1$	$1.0 \times 10^5 \pm 5.7 \times 10^3$
Salad #1 <sup>b</sup>	Store II (Brand H)	$2.4 \times 10^6 \pm 8.5 \times 10^4$	$1.9 \times 10^4 \pm 7.1 \times 10^2$	$2.2 \times 10^6 \pm 1.4 \times 10^5$
Salad #1 <sup>c</sup>	Store II (Brand H)	$6.5 \times 10^5 \pm 1.0 \times 10^5$	$2.8 \times 10^3 \pm 4.5 \times 10^3$	$5.4 \times 10^3 \pm 2.8 \times 10^4$
Salad #2 <sup>b</sup>	Store I (Brand I)	$3.0 \times 10^7 \pm 2.8 \times 10^5$	$4.0 \times 10^5 \pm 1.7 \times 10^4$	$1.5 \times 10^7 \pm 5.7 \times 10^5$
Salad #2 <sup>c</sup>	Store I (Brand I)	$1.7 \times 10^6 \pm 7.1 \times 10^4$	$6.0 \times 10^2 \pm 2.8 \times 10^1$	$1.4 \times 10^6 \pm 1.4 \times 10^4$
Salad #3 <sup>b</sup>	Chain Restaurant A	$4.8 \times 10^7 \pm 5.7 \times 10^5$	$5.6 \times 10^5 \pm 2.8 \times 10^5$	$3.7 \times 10^7 \pm 2.3 \times 10^6$
Salad #3 <sup>c</sup>	Chain Restaurant A	$2.0 \times 10^7 \pm 7.1 \times 10^5$	$4.8 \times 10^3 \pm 3.4 \times 10^2$	$5.2 \times 10^6 \pm 3.1 \times 10^6$
Shrimp #1 <sup>b</sup>	Store III (Brand C)	$1.3 \times 10^4 \pm 1.4 \times 10^2$	$6.9 \times 10^2 \pm 1.4 \times 10^1$	$1.5 \times 10^1 \pm 2.8 \times 10^2$
Shrimp #1 <sup>c</sup>	Store III (Brand C)	$9.3 \times 10^3 \pm 9.9 \times 10^1$	$3.4 \times 10^3 \pm 2.8 \times 10^1$	$2.1 \times 10^2 \pm 1.4 \times 10^1$
Shrimp #2 <sup>b</sup>	Store IV	$4.3 \times 10^3 \pm 1.6 \times 10^2$	$1.2 \times 10^2 \pm 2.8 \times 10^1$	$3.1 \times 10^3 \pm 4.2 \times 10^1$
Shrimp #2 <sup>c</sup>	Store IV	$1.3 \times 10^4 \pm 1.8 \times 10^2$	$6.0 \times 10^1 \pm 2.8 \times 10^1$	$1.4 \times 10^2 \pm 5.7 \times 10^1$
Pork Chop <sup>b</sup>	Store II	$3.2 \times 10^4 \pm 1.6 \times 10^3$	$5.7 \times 10^3 \pm 1.4 \times 10^2$	$1.8 \times 10^4 \pm 2.8 \times 10^2$
Pork Chop <sup>c</sup>	Store II	$4.6 \times 10^2 \pm 2.8 \times 10^1$	$1.0 \times 10^1 \pm 1.4 \times 10^1$	$6.0 \times 10^1 \pm 2.8 \times 10^1$
Raw Milk <sup>a</sup>	Pilot Plant	$4.5 \times 10^3 \pm 2.5 \times 10^3$	$3.4 \times 10^2 \pm 3.5 \times 10^1$	$3.4 \times 10^2 \pm 8.0 \times 10^1$
Raw Milk <sup>a</sup>	Pilot Plant	$8.5 \times 10^3 \pm 1.5 \times 10^3$	$4.8 \times 10^3 \pm 2.0 \times 10^1$	$7.6 \times 10^3 \pm 2.0 \times 10^3$
Raw Milk <sup>b</sup>	Pilot Plant	$7.6 \times 10^2 \pm 3.5 \times 10^1$	$7.0 \times 10^1 \pm 1.2 \times 10^4$	-

\*Screened on agar plates containing 16µg/ml tetracycline.

<sup>§</sup>Screened on agar plates containing 8µg/ml erythromycin.<sup>a</sup>Microorganisms were recovered from cheese samples by plating on MRS agar plate and incubated at 30°C.<sup>b</sup>Microorganisms were recovered by plating on PCA agar plates and incubated at 20°C.<sup>c</sup>Microorganisms were recovered by plating on PCA agar plates and incubated at 37°C.<sup>d</sup>Microorganisms were recovered by plating on MRS agar plates and incubated at 20°C.

**Table 2. Identification of antibiotic-resistant isolates from food based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing.**

Food	ART trait	Resistance gene (# carriers /# isolates screened)	16S rRNA gene identity (#organisms/ #identified)
Cheese	Tet	<i>TetS/M</i> (8/33)	<i>Lactococcus</i> sp. (2/8) <i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> (5/8)
		<i>tetA</i> (2/33)	<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. (2/2)
	Em	<i>ermB</i> (32/56)	<i>Staphylococcus</i> sp. (5/28) <i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> . (23/28)
Raw milk	Tet	<i>tetS/M</i> (8/108)	<i>Lactococcus</i> sp. (1/8) <i>Streptococcus</i> sp. (1/8) 11
Salad	Em	<i>ErmB</i> (7/20)	<i>Enterobacter</i> sp. (3/4) <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. (1/4) 13